

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 2021 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL DIG S		Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov	ATENTS AND TRADEMARK
09/659,599	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
22000	09/11/2000 90 11/14/2002	Glenn H. McGall	2719.2001-000	CONFIRMATION NO. 4766
HAMILTON, 530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA	BROOK, SMITH & RE ROAD	EYNOLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER EPPS, JANET L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	\mathcal{G}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/659,599	MCGALL, GLENN H.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Janet L Epps-Ford, Ph.D.	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with th corr spond nce address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 August 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23 and 30-35, for the reasons of record set forth in the Official Action mailed 3-13-02.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
0.⊠ Other: See the attached PTO-892						
		SEAN McGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the examiner agreed during the interview of 10-11-02 that the instant amendment would not require further search, upon further inspection of the instant amendment it is noted that Applicant's amendment does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121 (c), which requires that all amendments to a claim be made by rewriting such claim with all changes (e.g., additions, deletions, modifications) included. For example, Applicant's amendment filed 10-17-02 does not include an instruction to delete the term "second molecule" from line 3 of claim 7 (claim 7 of amendment "A" of 12-27-01). Applicant's amendment inserts the term "additional molecule" after the phrase "M1 of the" without deleting the term "second molecule." Additionally, Applicant's amendment inserts the term "wherein" in line 12 of claim 14 (claim 14 of amendment "A") however there is no instruction to delete the term "wherein" that is already recited in line 12 of claim 14..

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER